arXiv:1404.5586v3 [math.AP] 6 Jul 2014

A UNIQUE CONTINUATION RESULT FOR THE PLATE EQUATION AND AN APPLICATION

ZEHRA ARAT, AZER KHANMAMEDOV, AND SEMA SIMSEK

Abstract. In this paper, we prove the unique continuation property for the weak solution of the plate equation with non-smooth coefficients. Then, we apply this result to study the global attractor for the semilinear plate equation with a localized damping.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to investigation of unique continuation property for the following plate equation

$$u_{tt}(t,x)+\Delta^2 u(t,x)+\sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2} q_\alpha(t)\,\partial_x^\alpha u(t,x)+p(t,x_1)\,u(t,x)=0,\ (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n, \qquad (1.1)$$

where $\alpha=(\alpha_1,...,\alpha_n)$ is a multi-index with $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+...+\alpha_n$, and $x=(x_1,...,x_n)$, $\partial_x^\alpha=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1}...\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{\alpha_n}$.

The unique continuation property has been intensively studied for a long time due to the significant role in some subjects, particularly, inverse problems, control theory and stability. The first well-known result obtained in this area is the classical Holmgren uniqueness theorem in which the unique continuation property of solutions for elliptic partial differential equation with analytic coefficients was proved (see for example [1], [2]).

Over the last few decades, unique continuation results for hyperbolic-like equations have been drawing more attention. To the best of our knowledge, one of the first unique continuation results for wave equations was obtained in a paper by Ruiz [3] which deals with the unique continuation property of weak L^2- solutions. This result was successfully applied in the study of long time dynamics of wave equations, such as exponential decay of solutions (see for example [4], [5], [6]) and existence of global attractors (see for example [7], [8]). For the plate equations, an important work was done by Kim [9] where the Euler-Bernoulli equation with non-smooth coefficients was considered and the unique continuation result for solutions in $L^2\left(0,T;H^3(\Omega)\right)\cap W^{1,2}\left(0,T;H^1(\Omega)\right)$ was obtained. Later, Isakov [10] established unique continuation property of the strong solutions for wider class of plate equations with bounded measurable coefficients.

In the articles mentioned above, unique continuation property was proved by using Carleman estimates. The main goal of this paper is to give simpler proof of unique continuation property for the weaker, precisely L^1 (with respect to the variable x), solutions of (1.1). To this end, using the Fourier series expansion, we reduce the considered problem to the estimation of solutions for an ordinary differential equation and then, applying the representation formula for the second order differential equation, we prove the desired result which is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $p\in L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R};L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and $q_\alpha\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, for $|\alpha|\leq 2$. Let $u\in C\left(\mathbb{R};L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)\cap L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R};L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$, with $pu\in L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R};L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$, be a weak solution of (1.1). If*

$$u(t,x)=0,\quad t\in\mathbb{R},\ |x|\geq r \qquad (1.2)$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35L05, 35G20, 35B60, 35B41 .

Key words and phrases. wave equation, plate equation, unique continuation property, global attractor.

holds for some $r > 0$, then

$$u(t,x) = 0, \quad a.e. \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.1 is important from the point of view of application to the semilinear plate equation with a localized damping and non-smooth nonlinear terms to study the long time behaviour of solutions. We apply the main result to the ω-limit and α-limit sets of the semilinear plate equation (see Section 3) to show that they are subsets of the set of stationary points.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we give some auxiliary lemmas and then prove the main result. In Section 3, we firstly prove the asymptotic compactness of the semigroup generated by the semilinear plate equation and then apply Theorem 1.1 to study the global attractor of this semigroup.

2. Proof of the main result

We start with the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $y \in C(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})$ be a weak solution of the equation*

$$y''(t) + \left(A(t) - c^2\right) y(t) = B(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $Re(c) > 0$. Assume that $A \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})$ is a function such that

$$\|A\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})} < |c|\, Re(c), \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$B \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C}).$$

Then

$$|y(t)| \leq \frac{1}{|c|\, Re(c) - \|A\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})}} \|B\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})}, \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Firstly, we write (2.1) in the form

$$y''(t) - c^2 y(t) = -A(t)\, y(t) + B(t).$$

If we solve the following homogeneous part

$$y''(t) - c^2 y(t) = 0,$$

we get the linearly independent solutions

$$\varphi_1(t) = e^{ct},\ \varphi_2(t) = e^{-ct}.$$

Then, by method of variation of parameters, we have the following representation formula (see for example [11, p.162-166]) for the solution of (2.1)

$$y(t) = \left[\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\varphi_2(s)\,(A(s)\,y(s) - B(s))}{W_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(s)} ds + c_1\right] \varphi_1(t)$$

$$+ \left[c_2 - \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\varphi_1(s)\,(A(s)\,y(s) - B(s))}{W_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(s)} ds\right] \varphi_2(t),$$

where $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and W_{φ_1,φ_2} is the Wronskian of φ_1, φ_2. Since

$$W_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(t) = \begin{vmatrix} e^{ct} & e^{-ct} \\ ce^{ct} & -ce^{-ct} \end{vmatrix} = -2c,$$

we have

$$y(t) = c_1 e^{ct} + c_2 e^{-ct}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2c}e^{ct}\int_{t_0}^{t}e^{-cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds$$

$$+\frac{1}{2c}e^{-ct}\int_{t_0}^{t}e^{cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds. \tag{2.3}$$

If we multiply both sides of (2.3) by e^{-ct}, we obtain

$$e^{-ct}y\left(t\right)=c_1+c_2e^{-2ct}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2c}\int_{t_0}^{t}e^{-cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds+\frac{1}{2c}e^{-2ct}\int_{t_0}^{t}e^{cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds.$$

Passing to limit as $t\to\infty$, considering that $y\in C\left(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C}\right)\cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Re}(c)>0$, we get

$$c_1-\frac{1}{2c}\int_{t_0}^{\infty}e^{-cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds=0. \tag{2.4}$$

On the other hand, if we multiply both sides of (2.3) by e^{ct}, we find

$$e^{ct}y\left(t\right)=c_2+c_1e^{2ct}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2c}\int_{t_0}^{t}e^{cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds-\frac{1}{2c}e^{2ct}\int_{t_0}^{t}e^{-cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds.$$

Passing to limit as $t\to-\infty$, we obtain

$$c_2-\frac{1}{2c}\int_{-\infty}^{t_0}e^{cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds=0. \tag{2.5}$$

Now, using (2.3)-(2.5), we have

$$y\left(t_0\right)=c_1e^{ct_0}+c_2e^{-ct_0}$$

$$=\frac{e^{ct_0}}{2c}\int_{t_0}^{\infty}e^{-cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds+\frac{e^{-ct_0}}{2c}\int_{-\infty}^{t_0}e^{cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds.$$

Since t_0 was arbitrary, we can write

$$y\left(t\right)=\frac{e^{ct}}{2c}\int_{t}^{\infty}e^{-cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds+\frac{e^{-ct}}{2c}\int_{-\infty}^{t}e^{cs}\left(A\left(s\right)y\left(s\right)-B\left(s\right)\right)ds,\quad\forall t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$\left|y\left(t\right)\right|\leq\frac{1}{\left|c\right|\mathrm{Re}(c)}\left\|Ay-B\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})}=\alpha\left\|y\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})}+\frac{1}{\left|c\right|\mathrm{Re}(c)}\left\|B\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})},$$

and consequently

$$\left\|y\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})}\leq\alpha\left\|y\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})}+\frac{1}{\left|c\right|\mathrm{Re}(c)}\left\|B\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})},$$

where $\alpha=\frac{1}{\left|c\right|\mathrm{Re}(c)}\left\|A\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})}$ .Since $\alpha<1$ (see (2.2)), by the above inequality, we obtain the claim of lemma. □

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that* $q_2 \in L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ *and* $q_j \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, *for* $j = 0, 1$. *Let* $u \in C\left(\mathbb{R}; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, *with* $q_2 u \in L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, *be a weak solution of the equation*

$$u_{tt}(t,x) + u_{xxxx}(t,x) + q_0(t)\, u_{xx}(t,x) + q_1(t)\, u_x(t,x) + q_2(t,x)\, u(t,x) = 0, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.6}$$

If

$$u(t,x) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |x| \geq r \tag{2.7}$$

holds for some $r > 0$, *then*

$$u(t,x) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R},$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Testing the equation (2.6) with $e^{\lambda x} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2r}(x+r)k}$ in $\mathbb{R}$, where $\lambda > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and considering (2.7), we get

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\int_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\, e^{\lambda x} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2r}(x+r)k} dx + \left(\lambda + \frac{i\pi k}{2r}\right)^4 \int_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\, e^{\lambda x} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2r}(x+r)k} dx$$

$$+ \left(\lambda + \frac{i\pi k}{2r}\right)^2 q_0(t) \int_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\, e^{\lambda x} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2r}(x+r)k} dx$$

$$- \left(\lambda + \frac{i\pi k}{2r}\right) q_1(t) \int_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\, e^{\lambda x} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2r}(x+r)k} dx$$

$$+ \int_{-r}^{r} q_2(t,x)\, u(t,x)\, e^{\lambda x} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2r}(x+r)k} dx = 0.$$

If we define

$$y_{k,\lambda}(t) := \int_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\, e^{\lambda x} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2r}(x+r)k} dx,$$

then we have

$$y''_{k,\lambda}(t) + \left[\left(\lambda + \frac{i\pi k}{2r}\right)^4 + \left(\lambda + \frac{i\pi k}{2r}\right)^2 q_0(t) - \left(\lambda + \frac{i\pi k}{2r}\right) q_1(t)\right] y_{k,\lambda}(t) = -\int_{-r}^{r} q_2(t,x)\, u(t,x)\, e^{\lambda x} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2r}(x+r)k} dx.$$

Now, denote

$$A_{k,\lambda}(t) := \left(\lambda + \frac{i\pi k}{2r}\right)^2 q_0(t) - \left(\lambda + \frac{i\pi k}{2r}\right) q_1(t),$$

$$B_{k,\lambda}(t) = -\int_{-r}^{r} q_2(t,x)\, u(t,x)\, e^{\lambda x} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2r}(x+r)k} dx,$$

and

$$c_{k,\lambda} := -i\left(\lambda + \frac{i\pi k}{2r}\right)^2.$$

Then, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $A_{k,\lambda}(t)$, $B_{k,\lambda}(t)$ and $c_{k,\lambda}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.1 for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Hence, applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$|y_{k,\lambda}(t)| \leq \frac{\widetilde{c}_1}{\lambda k^3} \|B_{k,\lambda}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},\ \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_0,\ \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

which, together with (2.7), give us

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^4 \left|y_{k,\lambda}(t)\right|^2 \leq \frac{\widetilde{c}_2}{\lambda^2}\left(ess\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{-r}^{r}\left|q_2(t,x)\,u(t,x)\right|e^{\lambda x}dx\right)^2<\infty,\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R},\ \forall\lambda\geq\lambda_0,$$

and by the definition of $y_{k,\lambda}(t)$, we find

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^4\left|\int_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\,e^{\lambda x}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2r}(x+r)\,k\right)dx\right|^2$$

$$\leq \frac{\widetilde{c}_2}{\lambda^2}\left(ess\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{-r}^{r}\left|q_2(t,x)\,u(t,x)\right|e^{\lambda x}dx\right)^2<\infty,\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R},\ \forall\lambda\geq\lambda_0.$$

Since $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2r}(x+r)\,k\right)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is orthonormal basis in $L^2(-r,r)$ consisting of the eigenfunctions of the operator $-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ in $L^2(-r,r)$ with the domain $H^2(-r,r)\cap H_0^1(-r,r)$, by the last inequality, we find that $u\in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R};H^2(-r,r)\cap H_0^1(-r,r)\right)$, and

$$\int_{-r}^{r}\left|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(u(t,x)\,e^{\lambda x})\right|^2dx\leq\frac{\widetilde{c}_2}{\lambda^2}\left(ess\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{-r}^{r}\left|q_2(t,x)\,u(t,x)\right|e^{\lambda x}dx\right)^2,\quad\forall t\in\mathbb{R},\ \forall\lambda\geq\lambda_0.$$

Then, considering $H^2(-r,r)\subset L^{\infty}(-r,r)$, we get

$$\left\|u(t,\cdot)\,e^{\lambda\cdot}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(-r,r)}\leq\frac{\widetilde{c}_3}{\lambda}\left\|u(\cdot,\cdot)\,e^{\lambda\cdot}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times(-r,r))},\quad\forall t\in\mathbb{R},\ \forall\lambda\geq\lambda_0.$$

Choosing λ large enough in the above inequality, we obtain

$$u(t,x)=0,\quad\text{a.e. in }\mathbb{R},$$

for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. □

Now, we can prove the main theorem. We use induction on n. For $n=1$, we obtain the result by Lemma 2.2. Now, assume that the claim of Theorem 1.1 holds in $(n-1)$- dimensional (with respect to the space variable) case. Let $u(t,x)$ be a weak solution of (1.1), satisfying (1.2), where $x:=(\bar{x},x_n):=(x_1,x_2,...,x_{n-1},x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$. For $\psi\in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, $\psi\equiv1$ in $[-r,r]$ and $\varphi\in C_0^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)$, by testing the equation (1.1) with the function $\varphi(\bar{x})\,\psi(x_n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and considering (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\varphi(\bar{x})\int_{-r}^{r}u(t,x)\,dx_n d\overline{x}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\Delta_{\bar{x}}^2\varphi(\bar{x})\int_{-r}^{r}u(t,x)\,dx_n d\overline{x}\\+\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|\leq2\\ \alpha_n=0}}(-1)^{|\alpha|}\,q_{\alpha}(t)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\partial_{\bar{x}}^{\alpha}\varphi(\bar{x})\int_{-r}^{r}u(t,x)\,dx_n d\overline{x}\\+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}p(t,x_1)\,\varphi(\bar{x})\int_{-r}^{r}u(t,x)\,dx_n d\overline{x}=0\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

where $\Delta_{\bar{x}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ and $\partial_{\bar{x}}^{\alpha}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1}...\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}}\right)^{\alpha_{n-1}}$. If we define

$$v_0(t,\bar{x}):=\int_{-r}^{r}u(t,x)\,dx_n,$$

then, by (2.8) and (1.2), $v_0(t,\bar{x})$ is a weak solution of the following $(n-1)$-dimensional (with respect to the space variable) problem

$$\begin{cases} v_{0tt}(t,\bar{x}) + \Delta_{\bar{x}}^2 v_0(t,\bar{x}) + \sum\limits_{\substack{|\alpha|\le 2\\ \alpha_n=0}} q_\alpha(t)\,\partial_{\bar{x}}^\alpha v_0(t,\bar{x}) + p(t,x_1)\,v_0(t,\bar{x}) = 0,\ (t,\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \\ v_0(t,\overline{x}) = 0, \quad |\overline{x}| \ge r. \end{cases}$$

Using induction assumption, we have

$$v_0(t,\bar{x}) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \tag{2.9}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, by testing the equation (1.1) with $x_n\varphi(\bar{x})\,\psi(x_n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, considering (1.2) and (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \varphi(\bar{x}) \int\limits_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\,x_n dx_n d\overline{x} + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Delta_{\bar{x}}^2\varphi(\bar{x}) \int\limits_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\,x_n dx_n d\overline{x} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|\le 2\\ \alpha_n=0}} (-1)^{|\alpha|} q_\alpha(t) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \partial_{\bar{x}}^\alpha\varphi(\bar{x}) \int\limits_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\,x_n dx_n d\overline{x}. \\ &\quad + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} p(t,x_1)\,\varphi(\bar{x}) \int\limits_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\,x_n dx_n d\overline{x} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

If we define

$$v_1(t,\bar{x}) := \int\limits_{-r}^{r} x_n u(t,x)\,dx_n,$$

then, by (2.10) and (1.3), $v_1(t,\bar{x})$ is a weak solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} v_{1tt}(t,\bar{x}) + \Delta_{\bar{x}}^2 v_1(t,\bar{x}) + \sum\limits_{\substack{|\alpha|\le 2\\ \alpha_n=0}} q_\alpha(t)\,\partial_{\bar{x}}^\alpha v_1(t,\bar{x}) + p(t,x_1)\,v_1(t,\bar{x}) = 0,\ (t,\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \\ v_1(t,\overline{x}) = 0, \quad |\overline{x}| \ge r \end{cases}$$

and so, by induction assumption,

$$v_1(t,\bar{x}) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \tag{2.11}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, by testing the equation (1.1) with $x_n^2\varphi(\bar{x})\,\psi(x_n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, considering (1.2), (2.9) and (2.11), we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \varphi(\bar{x}) \int\limits_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\,x_n^2 dx_n d\overline{x} + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Delta_{\bar{x}}^2\varphi(\bar{x}) \int\limits_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\,x_n^2 dx_n d\overline{x} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|\le 2\\ \alpha_n=0}} (-1)^{|\alpha|} q_\alpha(t) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \partial_{\bar{x}}^\alpha\varphi(\bar{x}) \int\limits_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\,x_n^2 dx_n d\overline{x} \\ &\quad + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} p(t,x_1)\,\varphi(\bar{x}) \int\limits_{-r}^{r} u(t,x)\,x_n^2 dx_n d\overline{x} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

If we define

$$v_2(t,\bar{x}) := \int\limits_{-r}^{r} x_n^2 u(t,x)\,dx_n,$$

then by (2.12) and (1.2), $v_2(t,\bar{x})$ is a weak solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} v_{2tt}(t,\bar{x}) + \Delta_{\bar{x}}^2 v_2(t,\bar{x}) + \sum\limits_{\substack{|\alpha|\le 2\\ \alpha_n=0}} q_\alpha(t)\,\partial_{\bar{x}}^{\alpha} v_2(t,\bar{x}) + p(t,x_1)\,v_2(t,\bar{x}) = 0,\ (t,\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \\ v_2(t,\overline{x}) = 0, \quad |\overline{x}| \ge r. \end{cases}$$

By induction assumption,

$$v_2(t,\bar{x}) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Continuing this procedure, we have

$$v_m(t,\bar{x}) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{n-1},\ m = 0,1,...$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$v_m(t,\bar{x}) := \int_{-r}^{r} x_n^m u(t,x)\,dx_n.$$

Since $u \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$ and the set of monomials is dense in $C[-r,r]$, we obtain

$$\int_{-r}^{r} \varphi(x_n)\,u(t,x)\,dx_n = 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C[-r,r]$, which gives us

$$u(t,x) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. An application: Global attractor for the semilinear plate equation

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 1$, be a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We consider the following initial boundary value problem:

$$u_{tt}(t,x) + \Delta^2 u(t,x) + \alpha(x)\,u_t(t,x) - f_1\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta u(t,x)$$

$$+ f_2\left(\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)u(t,x) + \beta(x_1)u(t,x) = 0,\ (t,x) \in (0,\infty)\times\Omega, \tag{3.1}$$

$$u(t,x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}u(t,x) = 0, \quad (t,x) \in (0,\infty)\times\partial\Omega, \tag{3.2}$$

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x), \qquad u_t(0,x) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{3.3}$$

where ν is outer unit normal vector, the functions α, β, f_1 and f_2 satisfy the following conditions:

$$\alpha \in L^\infty(\Omega),\ \alpha(\cdot) \ge 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\alpha(\cdot) \ge \alpha_0 > 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \omega \subset \Omega, \text{ where } \omega \text{ is a neighbourhood of } \partial\Omega, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\beta \in L^1(\Omega_1),\ \beta(\cdot) \ge 0, \text{ a.e. in } \Omega_1, \text{ where } \Omega_1 = \{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}\text{: } \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},\ (x_1,y) \in \Omega\} \tag{3.6}$$

$$f_1, f_2 \in C^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^+\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{s\to\infty} f_1(s) \ge 0,\ \liminf_{s\to\infty} f_2(s) \ge 0. \tag{3.7}$$

In particular case when $f_1(s) = s^2 - c$, $f_2 \equiv 0$ and $\beta \equiv 0$, where c is a constant, equation (3.1) becomes Berger equation (see [12]).

Now, denoting $A(w_1, w_2) = (w_2, -\Delta^2 w_1 - \alpha(\cdot)w_2)$, $D(A) = H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$ and $\Phi(v(x)) = f_1\left(\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v(x) - f_2\left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v(x) - \beta(x_1)v(x)$, we can reduce (3.1)-(3.3) to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(u,u_t) = A(u,u_t) + (0,\Phi(u)) \\ (u(0),u_t(0)) = (u_0,u_1) \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

in $L^2(\Omega)\times H^{-2}(\Omega)$. Since A is isomorphism between $H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$ and $L^2(\Omega)\times H^{-2}(\Omega)$, also between $\left(H^4(\Omega)\cap H_0^2(\Omega)\right)\times H_0^2(\Omega)$ and $H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$, defining the equivalent norm $\left\|A^{-1}(w_1,w_2)\right\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)}$ in $L^2(\Omega)\times H^{-2}(\Omega)$, it is easy to verify that A is maximal dissipative

operator in $L^2(\Omega)\times H^{-2}(\Omega)$. Hence, $\left\{e^{tA}\right\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a linear continuous semigroup in $H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$ and $L^2(\Omega)\times H^{-2}(\Omega)$, so, by the interpolation theorem, also in $H_0^1(\Omega)\times H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Since, the nonlinear operator $\Phi: H_0^1(\Omega)\to H^{-1}(\Omega)$ satisfies Lipschitz condition

$$\|\Phi(v_1)-\Phi(v_2)\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}\leq c(r)\|v_1-v_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)},\quad \forall v_1,v_2\in H_0^2(\Omega),$$

where $c: R_+\to R_+$ is a nondecreasing function and $r=\max\left\{\|v_1\|_{H^2(\Omega)},\|v_2\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\right\}$, by the semigroup theory, for every $(u_0,u_1)\in H_0^1(\Omega)\times H^{-1}(\Omega)$, the problem (3.8) has a unique local weak solution $(u,u_t)\in C\left([0,T_{\max});H_0^1(\Omega)\times H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$. Moreover, if $(u_0,u_1)\in \left(H^3(\Omega)\cap H_0^2(\Omega)\right)\times H_0^1(\Omega)$, then (u,u_t) is a strong solution of (3.8) and consequently of (3.1)-(3.3), from the class $C\left([0,T_{\max});\left(H^3(\Omega)\cap H_0^2(\Omega)\right)\times H_0^1(\Omega)\right)$.

Let $u\in C\left([0,T_{\max});H^3(\Omega)\cap H_0^2(\Omega)\right)\cap C^1\left([0,T_{\max});H_0^1(\Omega)\right)$ be local strong solution of (3.1)-(3.3). Testing (3.1) by u_t, we get

$$E(u(t),u_t(t))+\int_s^t\int_\Omega \alpha(x)|u_t(\tau,x)|^2\,dxd\tau$$

$$\leq E(u(s),u_t(s)),\quad t\geq s\geq 0, \tag{3.9}$$

where $E(u(t),u_t(t))=\frac{1}{2}\left(\|u_t(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+\|\Delta u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)+F_1\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$ $+F_2\left(\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \beta(x_1)|u(t,x)|^2\,dx$, $F_1(z)=\int_0^z f_1(\sqrt{\tau})\,d\tau$ and $F_2(z)=\int_0^z f_2(\sqrt{\tau})\,d\tau$. Considering (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7) in (3.9), we obtain

$$\|(u(t),u_t(t))\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)}\leq c\left(\|(u_0,u_1)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)}\right),\quad t\geq 0, \tag{3.10}$$

where $c:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}^+$ is a nondecreasing function. The last inequality yields that the local solution u can be extended to $[0,\infty)$.

Now, let $v,w\in C\left([0,\infty);H^3(\Omega)\cap H_0^2(\Omega)\right)\cap C^1\left([0,\infty);H_0^1(\Omega)\right)$ be strong solutions of (3.1)-(3.3) with initial data $(v_0,v_1)\in\left(H^3(\Omega)\cap H_0^2(\Omega)\right)\times H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $(w_0,w_1)\in\left(H^3(\Omega)\cap H_0^2(\Omega)\right)\times H_0^1(\Omega)$. Putting v and w instead of u in (3.1), subtracting the equations and testing the obtained equation by (v_t-w_t), we find

$$\|v(t)-w(t)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}+\|v_t(t)-w_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\leq$$

$$\leq\widetilde{c}(T,\widetilde{r})\left(\|v(0)-w(0)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}+\|v_t(0)-w_t(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right),\ \forall t\in[0,T],$$

where $\widetilde{c}: R_+\times R_+\to R_+$ is a nondecreasing function with respect to each variable and $\widetilde{r}=\max\left\{\|(v_0,v_1)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)},\|(w_0,w_1)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)}\right\}$. The last inequality, together with (3.10), implies that for every $(u_0,u_1)\in H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$, problem (3.1)-(3.3) has a unique weak solution $u\in C\left([0,\infty);H_0^2(\Omega)\right)\cap C^1\left([0,\infty);L^2(\Omega)\right)$, which depends continuously on the initial data. Therefore, the problem (3.1)-(3.3) generates a strongly continuous semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ in $H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$ by the formula $(u(t),u_t(t))=S(t)(u_0,u_1)$.

In this section, our aim is to study the existence of the global attractor of the semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$. The attractors for the semilinear plate equations were studied by many authors under different conditions. We refer to [13-18] and therein references. The main difficulty in the proof of the existence of a global attractor for the plate equations with the localized damping is to show the existence of a bounded absorbing set, which is equivalent to point dissipativity for the asymptotically compact semigroups (see [19]). The validity of Theorem 1.1 allows us to overcome this difficulty for (3.1) and we show that the semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by the problem (3.1)-(3.3) has a global attractor which equals the unstable manifold of the set of stationary points. To this end, at first, we prove the asymptotic compactness of $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ in $H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let conditions (3.4)-(3.6) hold and B be a bounded subset of $H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$. Then every sequence of the form $\{S(t_k)\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, where $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\subset B$, $t_k\to\infty$, has a convergent subsequence in $H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Since $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$, by using (3.4) and (3.10), we obtain that $\{S(\cdot)\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0,\infty;H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)\right)$. Then, for any $T_0\geq 0$ there exists a subsequence $\{k_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ such that $t_{k_m}\geq T_0$ and

$$\begin{cases} S(t_{k_m}-T_0)\varphi_{k_m}\to\varphi_0 \text{ weakly in } H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega), \\ v_m\to v \text{ weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0,\infty;H_0^2(\Omega)\right), \\ v_{mt}\to v_t \text{ weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega)\right), \\ v_m(t)\to v(t) \text{ weakly in } H_0^2(\Omega),\ \forall t\geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

for some $\varphi_0\in H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$ and $v\in L^{\infty}\left(0,\infty;H_0^2(\Omega)\right)\cap W^{1,\infty}\left(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega)\right)$, where $(v_m(t),v_{mt}(t))=S(t+t_{k_m}-T_0)\varphi_{k_m}$. By using (3.5) and (3.10), we also obtain

$$\int_0^T \|v_{mt}(t)\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2\,dt\leq c_1,\quad \forall T\geq 0. \tag{3.12}$$

By using (3.1), we have the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} &v_{mtt}(t,x)-v_{ltt}(t,x)+\Delta^2(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))+\alpha(x)(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}(t,x)) \\ &-f_1(\|\nabla v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)})\Delta v_m(t,x)+f_1(\|\nabla v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)})\Delta v_l(t,x)+\beta(x_1)(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)) \\ &+f_2(\|v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)})v_m(t,x)-f_2(\|v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)})v_l(t,x)=0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Now, let $\widetilde{\omega}\subset\Omega$ be also a neighbourhood of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ such that $\widetilde{\omega}\subset\omega$ and $\Omega\backslash\omega\Subset\Omega\backslash\widetilde{\omega}$. Let $\eta\in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, $0\leq\eta(x)\leq 1$, $\eta|_{\widetilde{\omega}}\equiv 1$ and $\eta|_{\Omega\backslash\omega}\equiv 0$. Testing the equation (3.13) by $\eta^2(v_m-v_l)$ on $(0,T)\times\Omega$, using integration by parts, and considering $\eta|_{\Omega\backslash\omega}\equiv 0$ and $\beta(\cdot)\geq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T\|\eta\Delta(v_m(t)-v_l(t))\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2\,dt &\leq \int_0^T\|\eta(v_{mt}(t)-v_{lt}(t))\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2\,dt \\ &-\left(\int_{\omega}(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}((t,x))\eta^2(x)(v_m(t,x)-v_l((t,x))\,dx\right)\Bigg|_0^T \\ &-\int_0^T\int_{\omega}\Delta(v_m(t,x)-v_l((t,x))\Delta\left(\eta^2(x)\right)(v_m(t,x)-v_l((t,x))\,dxdt \\ &-4\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_{\omega}\Delta(v_m(t,x)-v_l((t,x))\eta(x)\eta_{x_i}(x)(v_m(t,x)-v_l((t,x))_{x_i}\,dxdt \\ &-\int_0^T\int_{\omega}\alpha(x)(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}(t,x))\eta^2(x)(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))\,dxdt \\ &+\int_0^T\int_{\omega}f_1\left(\|\nabla v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_m(t,x)\eta^2(x)(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))\,dxdt \\ &-\int_0^T\int_{\omega}f_1\left(\|\nabla v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_l(t,x)\eta^2(x)(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))\,dxdt \end{aligned}$$

$$+\int_0^T\int_\omega f_2\left(\|v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v_m(t,x)\,\eta^2(x)\,(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))\,dxdt$$

$$-\int_0^T\int_\omega f_2\left(\|v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v_l(t,x)\,\eta^2(x)\,(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))\,dxdt.$$

Considering $\eta|_{\widetilde{\omega}}\equiv 1$ in the above inequality and using (3.5), (3.7), (3.10) and (3.12), we have

$$\int_0^T\|\Delta(v_m(t)-v_l(t))\|^2_{L^2(\widetilde{\omega})}\,dt\le c_2+c_3T\,\|v_m-v_l\|_{C\left([0,T];H_0^1(\Omega)\right)}.$$

Since the sequence $\{v_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is bounded in $L^\infty\left(0,T;H_0^2(\Omega)\right)$ and the sequence $\{v_{mt}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is bounded in $L^\infty\left(0,T;L^2(\Omega)\right)$, by the compact embedding theorem (see [20, Corollary 4]), $\{v_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is relatively compact in $C\left([0,T];H_0^1(\Omega)\right)$. So according to (3.11), the sequence $\{v_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ strongly converges to v in $C\left([0,T];H_0^1(\Omega)\right)$. Then, by the last inequality, we obtain,

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\limsup_{l\to\infty}\int_0^T\|v_m(t)-v_l(t)\|^2_{H^2(\widetilde{\omega})}\,dt\le c_2,\quad\forall T\ge 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Now, let $q_i\in C_0^2(\Omega)$, $q_i|_{\Omega\setminus\widetilde{\omega}}\equiv x_i$, $i=1,..,n$. Testing (3.13) by $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i(x)\,(v_m-v_l)_{x_i}+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(v_m-v_l)$ on $(0,T)\times\Omega$ and using integration by parts, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\int_\Omega(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}(t,x))\,q_i(x)\,(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))_{x_i}\,dx\right)\Bigg|_0^T$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\int_0^T\int_\Omega(q_i(x))_{x_i}(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}(t,x))^2\,dxdt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\left(\int_\Omega(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}(t,x))(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))\,dx\right)\Bigg|_0^T$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\|v_{mt}(t)-v_{lt}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,dt$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^n\int_0^T\int_\Omega(\Delta v_m(t,x)-\Delta v_l(t,x))\,\Delta(q_i(x))\,(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))_{x_i}\,dxdt$$

$$+2\sum_{i,j=1}^n\int_0^T\int_\Omega(\Delta v_m(t,x)-\Delta v_l(t,x))\,(q_i(x))_{x_j}\,(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))_{x_ix_j}\,dxdt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\int_0^T\int_\Omega(q_i(x))_{x_i}(\Delta v_m(t,x)-\Delta v_l(t,x))^2\,dxdt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\|(\Delta v_m(t)-\Delta v_l(t))\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,dt$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega \alpha(x)\left(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}(t,x)\right)q_i(x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\int_\Omega \alpha(x)\left(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}(t,x)\right)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)dxdt$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_1\left(\|\nabla v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_m(t,x)\,q_i(x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_1\left(\|\nabla v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_m(t,x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)dxdt$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_1\left(\|\nabla v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_l(t,x)\,q_i(x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_1\left(\|\nabla v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_l(t,x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)dxdt$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_2\left(\|v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)q_i(x)\,v_m(t,x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_2\left(\|v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v_m(t,x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)dxdt$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_2\left(\|v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)q_i(x)\,v_l(t,x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_2\left(\|v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v_l(t,x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)dxdt$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega \beta(x_1)(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))q_i(x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt\le 0.$$

Then, since $q_i|_{\Omega\setminus\widetilde{\omega}}\equiv x_i$, from the last inequality, we find,

$$\frac{3}{2}\int_0^T\|(\Delta v_m(t)-\Delta v_l(t))\|^2_{L^2(\Omega\setminus\widetilde{\omega})}dt+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\|v_{mt}(t)-v_{lt}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega\setminus\widetilde{\omega})}dt$$

$$\le-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_\Omega\left(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}(t,x)\right)q_i(x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dx\right)\Bigg|_0^T$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_{\widetilde{\omega}}\left(q_i(x)\right)_{x_i}\left(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}(t,x)\right)^2dxdt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\left(\int_\Omega\left(\left(v_{mt}(t)-v_{lt}(t)\right)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)dx\right)\Bigg|_0^T\right.$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T \|v_{mt}(t)-v_{lt}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\widetilde{\omega})}\,dt$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega \Delta\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)\Delta\left(q_i(x)\right)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt$$

$$-2\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_{\widetilde{\omega}} \Delta(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))(q_i(x))_{x_j}\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_ix_j}dxdt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_{\widetilde{\omega}} \left(q_i(x)\right)_{x_i}\left(\Delta\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)\right)^2dxdt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\|\Delta\left(v_m(t)-v_l(t)\right)\|^2_{L^2(\widetilde{\omega})}\,dt$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega \alpha(x)\left(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}(t,x)\right)q_i(x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\int_\Omega \alpha(x)\left(v_{mt}(t,x)-v_{lt}(t,x)\right)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)dxdt$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_1\left(\|\nabla v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_m(t,x)\,q_i(x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_1\left(\|\nabla v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_m(t,x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)dxdt$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_1\left(\|\nabla v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_l(t,x)\,q_i(x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_1\left(\|\nabla v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_l(t,x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)dxdt$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_2\left(\|v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v_m(t,x)\,q_i(x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_2\left(\|v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v_m(t,x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)dxdt$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_2\left(\|v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\left(v_l(t,x)\right)q_i(x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\int_0^T\int_\Omega f_2\left(\|v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v_l(t,x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)dxdt$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^T\int_\Omega \beta(x_1)(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x))q_i(x)\left(v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right)_{x_i}dxdt.$$

Taking into account (3.4), (3.6), (3.7), (3.10) and (3.12) in the last inequality, we get

$$\int_0^T \|\Delta v_m(t)-\Delta v_l(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega\setminus\widetilde{\omega})}dt+\int_0^T \|v_{mt}(t)-v_{lt}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega\setminus\widetilde{\omega})}dt$$

$$\le c_4+c_5T\|v_m-v_l\|_{C\left([0,T];H_0^1(\Omega)\right)}+c_6\int_0^T\|v_m(t)-v_l(t)\|^2_{H^2(\widetilde{\omega})}dt,$$

which, together with (3.14) and strong convergence of $\{v_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ in $C\left([0,T];H_0^1(\Omega)\right)$, yields

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\limsup_{l\to\infty}\int_0^T\left[\|\Delta v_m(t)-\Delta v_l(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega\setminus\widetilde{\omega})}+\|v_{mt}(t)-v_{lt}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega\setminus\widetilde{\omega})}\right]dt$$

$$\le c_7,\qquad \forall T\ge 0. \tag{3.15}$$

By (3.12), (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\limsup_{l\to\infty}\int_0^T\left[\|v_m(t)-v_l(t)\|^2_{H_0^2(\Omega)}+\|v_{mt}(t)-v_{lt}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right]dt$$

$$\le c_8,\qquad \forall T\ge 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Now, multiplying (3.13) by $2t\left(v_{mt}-v_{lt}\right)$, integrating over $(0,T)\times\Omega$ and using integration by parts, we have

$$T\|v_{mt}(T)-v_{lt}(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$-\int_0^T\|v_{mt}(t)-v_{lt}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}dt+T\|\Delta v_m(T)-\Delta v_l(T)\|^2_{L(\Omega)}-\int_0^T\|\Delta v_m(t)-\Delta v_l(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}dt$$

$$+T\left(F_1\left(\|\nabla v_m(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)-\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T F_1\left(\|\nabla v_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt\right)$$

$$+2\int_0^T\int_\Omega tf_1\left(\|\nabla v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_m(t,x)\,v_{lt}(t,x)\,dxdt$$

$$+2\int_0^T\int_\Omega tf_1\left(\|\nabla v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_l(t,x)\,v_{mt}(t,x)\,dxdt$$

$$+T\left(F_1\left(\|\nabla v_l(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)-\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T F_1\left(\|\nabla v_l(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt\right)$$

$$+T\left(F_2\left(\|v_m(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)-\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T F_2\left(\|v_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt\right)$$

$$-2\int_0^T\int_\Omega tf_2\left(\|v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v_m(t,x)\,v_{lt}(t,x)\,dxdt$$

$$-2\int_0^T\int_\Omega tf_2\left(\|v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v_l(t,x)\,v_{mt}(t,x)\,dxdt$$

$$+T\left(F_2\left(\|v_l(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)-\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T F_2\left(\|v_l(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt\right)$$

$$-\int_0^T\int_\Omega \beta(x_1)\left|v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right|^2 dxdt=0.$$

Hence, dividing both sides of the above inequality by T, we obtain

$$\|(\Delta v_m(T)-\Delta v_l(T))\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+\|v_{mt}(T)-v_{lt}(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\le\frac{1}{T}\left(\int_0^T\|v_{mt}(t)-v_{lt}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+\int_0^T\|\Delta v_m(t)-\Delta v_l(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,dt\right)$$

$$-F_1\left(\|\nabla v_m(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)+\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T F_1\left(\|\nabla v_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt$$

$$-\frac{2}{T}\int_0^T\int_\Omega tf_1\left(\|\nabla v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_m(t,x)\,v_{lt}(t,x)\,dxdt$$

$$-\frac{2}{T}\int_0^T\int_\Omega tf_1\left(\|\nabla v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v_l(t,x)\,v_{mt}(t,x)\,dxdt$$

$$-F_1\left(\|\nabla v_l(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)+\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T F_1\left(\|\nabla v_l(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt$$

$$-F_2\left(\|v_m(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)+\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T F_2\left(\|v_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt$$

$$+\frac{2}{T}\int_0^T\int_\Omega tf_2\left(\|v_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v_m(t,x)\,v_{lt}(t,x)\,dxdt$$

$$+\frac{2}{T}\int_0^T\int_\Omega tf_2\left(\|v_l(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v_l(t,x)\,v_{mt}(t,x)\,dxdt-F_2\left(\|v_l(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T F_2\left(\|v_l(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt+\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\int_\Omega \beta(x_1)\left|v_m(t,x)-v_l(t,x)\right|^2 dxdt.$$

Since $\{v_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ strongly converges to v in $C\left([0,T];H_0^1(\Omega)\right)$ and F_1, $F_2\in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, by considering (3.16) in the last inequality, we get

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\limsup_{l\to\infty}\left[\|v_m(T)-v_l(T)\|^2_{H_0^2(\Omega)}+\|v_m(T)-v_l(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right]$$

$$\le\frac{c_9}{T}-2F_1\left(\|\nabla v(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)+\frac{2}{T}\int_0^T F_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt$$

$$-\frac{4}{T}\int_0^T\int_\Omega tf_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v(t,x)\,v_t(t,x)\,dxdt$$

$$-2F_2\left(\|v(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)+\frac{2}{T}\int_0^T F_2\left(\|v(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt$$

$$+\frac{4}{T}\int_0^T\int_\Omega tf_2\left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)v(t,x)\,v_t(t,x)\,dxdt,$$

which leads to

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\limsup_{l\to\infty}\left[\|v_m(T)-v_l(T)\|^2_{H_0^2(\Omega)}+\|v_m(T)-v_l(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right]$$

$$\le\frac{c_9}{T}-2F_1\left(\|\nabla v(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)+\frac{2}{T}\int_0^T F_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt$$

$$+\frac{2}{T}\int_0^T t\frac{d}{dt}F_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt$$

$$-2F_2\left(\|v(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)+\frac{2}{T}\int_0^T F_2\left(\|v(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt$$

$$+\frac{2}{T}\int_0^T t\frac{d}{dt}F_2\left(\|v(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)dt.$$

Then, after integration by parts, we obtain

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\limsup_{l\to\infty}\left[\|v_m(T)-v_l(T)\|^2_{H_0^2(\Omega)}+\|v_m(T)-v_l(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right]$$

$$\le\frac{c_9}{T},\qquad\forall T>0,$$

which yields

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\limsup_{l\to\infty}\|S(T+t_{k_m}-T_0)\varphi_{k_m}-S(T+t_{k_l}-T_0)\varphi_{k_l}\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\le\frac{c_{10}}{\sqrt{T}},\qquad\forall T>0.$$

Choosing $T=T_0$ in the above inequality, we have

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\limsup_{l\to\infty}\|S(t_{k_m})\varphi_{k_m}-S(t_{k_l})\varphi_{k_l}\|^2_{H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)}\le\frac{c_{10}}{\sqrt{T_0}}\ ,\ \ \forall T_0\ge 1,$$

and consequently, we get

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty}\liminf_{m\to\infty}\|S(t_k)\varphi_k-S(t_m)\varphi_m\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)}=0.$$

Thus, by using the argument at the end of the proof of [21, Lemma 3.4], we complete the proof of the lemma. □

Secondly, let us show the following point dissipativity property of $\{S(t)\}_{t\ge0}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Under the conditions (3.4)-(3.7),*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\inf_{\phi\in\mathcal{N}}\|S(t)\theta-\phi\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)}=0$$

holds for every $\theta\in H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$. Here $\mathcal{N}$ is the set of stationary points (for definition, see [22, p.35]) of $\{S(t)\}_{t\ge0}$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$. From asymptotically compactness lemma, it follows that the ω-limit set of θ, namely,

$$\omega(\theta) = \underset{t\geq 0}{\cap}\overline{\underset{\tau\geq t}{\cup} S(\tau)\theta}$$

is nonempty, compact in $H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, invariant with respect to $S(t)$ and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\inf_{\phi\in\omega(\theta)} \|S(t)\theta - \phi\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)} = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Let $(u(t), u_t(t)) = S(t)\theta$. Since, by (3.4), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9), the Lyapunov functional $L(S(t)\theta) := E(u(t), u_t(t))$ is nonincreasing and bounded from below, it has a limit at positive infinity, i.e.

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} L(S(t)\theta) = l. \tag{3.18}$$

If $\varphi \in \omega(\theta)$, there exists a sequence $\{t_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset [0,\infty)$ such that $t_m \to \infty$ and

$$S(t_m)\theta \to \varphi \ \text{ strongly in } \ H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \ \text{ as } \ t_m \to \infty.$$

Since the Lyapunov functional L is continuous on $H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, we get

$$L(S(t_m)\theta) \to L(\varphi) \quad \text{as } \ t_m \to \infty,$$

which, together with (3.18), gives

$$L(\varphi) = l\ , \ \ \forall \varphi \in \omega(\theta). \tag{3.19}$$

Since the damping term in (3.1) is linear, the semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ can be extended to group $\{S(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and considering invariance of $\omega(\theta)$, by (3.19), we have

$$L(S(t)\varphi) = l, \ \ \forall \varphi \in \omega(\theta), \ \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now, let $\varphi \in \omega(\theta)$ and set $(v(t), v_t(t)) = S(t)\varphi$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, using (3.9), which can be extended for all $t \geq s$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and considering the last equality, we obtain

$$\int_s^t \int_\Omega \alpha(x) |v_t(t,x)|^2 \, dxdt = 0, \ \ \forall t \geq s, \ \ s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Taking into account (3.4) in above equality, we get

$$\alpha(x) |v_t(t,x)|^2 = 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}\times\Omega,$$

which, together with (3.1) and (3.5), gives us the following problem

$$\begin{cases} v_{tt}(t,x) + \Delta^2 v(t,x) - f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v(t,x) \\ +f_2\left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) v(t,x) + \beta(x_1) v(t,x) = 0, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\Omega, \\ v_t(t,x) = 0, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

Now, regarding (3.20), we will prove that

$$v_t(t,x) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \tag{3.21}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Firstly, assume that the term $f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$ is not constant. Then there exist t_1, $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t_1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \neq f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$. Since

$$v_t(t,x) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}\times\omega,$$

v is independent of t in $\mathbb{R}\times\omega$. Therefore, by using $(3.20)_1$, we get the following equation

$$\Delta^2 v(t,x) - f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta v(t,x)$$

$$+ f_2\left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) v(t,x) + \beta(x_1) v(t,x) = 0, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\omega. \tag{3.22}$$

By writing the above equation at the point t_1 and t_2, subtracting obtained equations and considering that v is independent of t in $\mathbb{R}\times\omega$, we get

$$-\left(f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) - f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t_1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\right)\Delta v(t,x)$$

$$+\left(f_2\left(\|v(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)-f_2\left(\|v(t_1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\right)v(t,x)=0,\quad (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}\times\omega.$$

Then we have

$$-\Delta v(t,x)+Cv(t,x)=0,\quad (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}\times\omega, \tag{3.23}$$

where C is the constant as follows

$$C=\frac{f_2\left(\|v(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)-f_2\left(\|v(t_1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)}{f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)-f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t_1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)}.$$

Applying Holmgren's theorem (see [1], [2]) to the equation (3.23), together with boundary conditions (3.2), we find

$$v(t,x)=0,\quad \text{a.e. in }\ \omega,$$

for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Then, by using extension outside of $\mathbb{R}\times\Omega$ by zero, from (3.20), we obtain the problem

$$\begin{cases}\widetilde{v}_{tt}(t,x)+\Delta^2\widetilde{v}(t,x)-f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta\widetilde{v}(t,x)+\\ +f_2\left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\widetilde{v}(t,x)+\widetilde{\beta}(x_1)\widetilde{v}(t,x)=0,\qquad (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n,\\ \widetilde{v}(t,x)=0,\qquad t\in\mathbb{R},\quad |x|\geq r,\end{cases}$$

for some $r>0$, where $\widetilde{v}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ are the extensions of v and β. Since $\widetilde{v}\in C_b\left([0,\infty),H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$, by Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\widetilde{v}(t,x)=0,\quad \text{a.e. in }\mathbb{R}^n,$$

for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. But, then the term $f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$ is constant, which is a contradiction. So, our assumption is false and $f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$ must be constant.

Now, secondly, assume that the term $f_2\left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$ is not constant. Then there exist t_1, $t_2\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $f_2\left(\|v(t_1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\neq f_2\left(\|v(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$. Therefore, by using (3.22), considering that v is independent of t in $\mathbb{R}\times\omega$ and $f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$ is constant, we get the following equation

$$\left(f_2\left(\|v(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)-f_2\left(\|v(t_1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\right)v(t,x)=0,\quad (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}\times\omega.$$

Then we have

$$v(t,x)=0,\quad \text{a.e. in }\omega,$$

for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Thus, by using extension outside of $\mathbb{R}\times\Omega$ by zero, from (3.20), we obtain the problem

$$\begin{cases}\widetilde{v}_{tt}(t,x)+\Delta^2\widetilde{v}(t,x)-f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\Delta\widetilde{v}(t,x)+\\ +f_2\left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\widetilde{v}(t,x)+\widetilde{\beta}(x_1)\widetilde{v}(t,x)=0,\qquad (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n,\\ \widetilde{v}(t,x)=0,\qquad t\in\mathbb{R},\quad |x|\geq r,\end{cases}$$

for some $r>0$, where $\widetilde{v}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ are the extensions of v and β. Similarly, by applying Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\widetilde{v}(t,x)=0,\quad \text{a.e. in }\mathbb{R}^n,$$

for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Then, it follows that $f_2\left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$ is constant, which contradicts our assumption. So, our assumption is false and $f_2\left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$ must be constant.

Consequently, $f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$ and $f_2\left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$ must be constants. Let $f_1\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \equiv c_1 \geq 0$ and $f_2\left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \equiv c_2 \geq 0$. Then, by (3.20), we have

$$\begin{cases} v_{tt}(t,x) + \Delta^2 v(t,x) - c_1 \Delta v(t,x) + c_2 v(t,x) + \beta(x_1) v(t,x) = 0, \ (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ v_t(t,x) = 0, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \omega. \end{cases}$$

Denoting $w^h = v(t+h) - v(t)$, from the above problem, we find

$$\begin{cases} w^h_{tt}(t,x) + \Delta^2 w^h(t,x) - c_1 \Delta w^h(t,x) + c_2 w^h(t,x) + \beta(x_1) w^h(t,x) = 0, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ w^h(t,x) = 0, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \omega. \end{cases}$$

Here, by using extension outside of $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ by zero, from the last problem, we get

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{w}^h_{tt}(t,x) + \Delta^2 \widetilde{w}^h(t,x) - c_1 \Delta \widetilde{w}^h(t,x) + c_2 \widetilde{w}^h(t,x) + \widetilde{\beta}(x_1) \widetilde{w}^h(t,x) = 0, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \widetilde{w}^h(t,x) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ |x| \geq r, \end{cases}$$

for some $r > 0$, where $\widetilde{w}^h$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ are the extensions of w^h and β. Since $\widetilde{w}^h \in C_b\left([0,\infty), H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$, from Theorem 1.1, it follows that

$$\widetilde{w}^h(t,x) = 0, \ \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for all $t, h \in \mathbb{R}$, which yields (3.21). Then we have

$$S(t)\varphi = \varphi \ , \ \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As a consequence, we obtain $\omega(\theta) \subset \mathcal{N}$ and by (3.17), the proof of the lemma is complete. □

Now, we can prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.1. *Under conditions (3.4)-(3.7), the semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by (3.1)-(3.3) possesses a global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ in $H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}^u(\mathcal{N})$. Here $\mathcal{M}^u(\mathcal{N})$ is unstable manifold emanating from the set of stationary points $\mathcal{N}$ (for definition, see [22, p.35]). Moreover, the global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ consists of full trajectories $\gamma = \{u(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \lim\limits_{t \to -\infty} \inf\limits_{v \in \mathcal{N}} \|u(t) - v\|_{H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)} = 0, \\ \lim\limits_{t \to \infty} \inf\limits_{v \in \mathcal{N}} \|u(t) - v\|_{H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)} = 0 \end{cases} . \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and [19, Theorem 3.1], it follows that there exists a global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ in $H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$. Then $\mathcal{M}^u(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ (see [22, Lemma 3.2, p.36]). Now, we prove the other side of the inclusion. At first, as we discussed in the proof of Lemma 3.2, the semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ can be extended to group $\{S(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$ be any point. Then it follows that the α-limit set of θ, namely,

$$\alpha(\theta) = \bigcap_{t \leq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{\tau \leq t} S(\tau)\theta}$$

is nonempty, compact in $H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, invariant with respect to $S(t)$ and

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \inf_{\phi \in \alpha(\theta)} \|S(t)\theta - \phi\|_{H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)} = 0.$$

By using the idea of the proof of Lemma 3.2, we obtain $\alpha(\theta) \subset \mathcal{N}$ and hence, from the last equality,

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}} \|S(t)\theta - v\|_{H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)} = 0, \ \ \forall \theta \in \mathcal{A},$$

which gives $(3.24)_1$. Therefore, from the definition of unstable manifold, we get

$$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}^u(\mathcal{N}),$$

and consequently

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}^u(\mathcal{N}).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.2, we have $(3.24)_2$ and hence, the proof is complete. □

References

[1] L. Hormander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators, Vols. I-IV, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983-1985.

[2] D. Tataru, Unique continuation for solutions to PDE's; between Hormander's theorem and Holmgren's theorem, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 20 (1995) 855-884.

[3] A. Ruiz, Unique continuation for weak solutions of the wave equation plus a potential, J.Math Pures Appl., 710 (1992) 455-467.

[4] E. Zuazua, Exponential decay for the semilinear wave equation with locally ditributed damping, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 15 (1990) 205-235.

[5] L. T. Tébou, A direct method for the stabilization of some locally damped semilinear wave equations, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser.I, 342 (2006) 859-864.

[6] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. D. Cavalcanti, P. Martinez, Existence and decay rate estimates for the wave equation with nonlinear boundary damping and source term, J. Differential Equations, 203 (2004) 119-158.

[7] A. Kh. Khanmamedov, Global attractor for the one dimensional wave equation with displacement dependent damping, Applied Mathematics Letter, 25 (2012) 439-442.

[8] E. Feireisl, E. Zuazua, Global attractors for semilinear wave equations with locally distributed nonlinear damping and critical exponent, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 18 (1993) 1539-1555.

[9] J. U. Kim, Exact semi-internal control of an Euler-Bernoulli equation, SIAM J. Control and Optimization, 30 (1992) 1001-1023.

[10] V. Isakov, On uniqueness in a lateral Cauchy problem with multiple characterics, J. Differential Equations, 134 (1997) 134-147.

[11] S. L. Ross, Introduction to Ordinary Differential Equations, Fourth Edition, John Wiley & Sons, 1989.

[12] H. M. Berger, A new approach to the analysis of large deflections of plates. J. Appl. Mech., 22 (1955) 465-472.

[13] A. Kh. Khanmamedov, Existence of a global attractor for the plate equation with a critical exponent in an unbounded domain, Applied Mathematics Letters, 18 (2005) 827-832.

[14] A. Kh. Khanmamedov, Global attractors for the plate equation with a localized damping and a critical exponent in an unbounded domain, J.Differential Equations, 225 (2006) 528-548.

[15] L.Yang, Uniform attractor for non-autonomous plate equation with a localized damping and a critical nonlinearity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 338 (2008) 1243-1254.

[16] S. Kolbasin, Attractors for Kirchoff's equation with a nonlinear damping coefficient, Nonlinear Analysis, 71 (2009) 2361-2371.

[17] M. Potomkin, On transmission problem for Berger plates on an elastic base, Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry, 7 (2011) 96-102.

[18] M. Potomkin, A nonlinear transmission problem for acompound plate with thermoelastic part, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 35 (2012) 530-546.

[19] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, On the determination of minimal global attractors for the Navier–Stokes equations and other partial differential equations, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 42 (1987) 25–60; English translation: Russian Math. Surveys, 42 (1987) 27-73.

[20] J. Simon, Compact sets in the space $L_p(0,T;B)$, Annali Mat. Pura Appl., 146 (1987) 65–96.

[21] A. Kh. Khanmamedov, Global attractors for 2-D wave equations with displacement dependent damping, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 33 (2010) 177-187.

[22] I. Chueshov, Introduction to the Theory of Infinite-Dimensional Dissipative Systems, Acta, Kharkov, 1999, in Russian; English translation: Acta, Kharkov, 2002.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hacettepe University, Beytepe 06800, Ankara, Turkey

E-mail address: zarat@hacettepe.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hacettepe University, Beytepe 06800, Ankara, Turkey

E-mail address: azer@hacettepe.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hacettepe University, Beytepe 06800, Ankara, Turkey

E-mail address: semasimsek@hacettepe.edu.tr